



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/985,871	11/06/2001	Amy L. Sherwood	BS01-176	4479
28970	7590	02/23/2005	EXAMINER	
SHAW PITTMAN IP GROUP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 1300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2165		
DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/985,871	SHERWOOD, AMY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeramie Keys (Attorney of Record). (3) Tony Mahmoudi.
 (2) Sam Rimell. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Andrews et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0077998 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On 07-February-2005, the attorney of record emailed the examiner detailed arguments as to why in his view, the primary reference cited by the examiner (Andrews et al.) did not meet every limitation on the independent claims. The examiner fully considered the arguments and found them to be persuasive, in that Andrews et al, used in a 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection, does not teach the recitation of "copying the existing data entry from the current database location to create a previous data entry in a historical database location" before modifying the contents of the location on the current database. Further, the examiner noted that although Andrews et al teaches "archiving" data in a database, he does not specifically teach a "historical database" separate from the current database.

The attorney agreed to file an official response to the previous Office Action, including the arguments made in the above referenced emailed agenda. Upon receipt of the official response containing the above arguments, the examiner will withdraw the previous rejection, conduct an updated search, and communicate the search results as appropriate.